

CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Price Increases in the Aftermath of Hurricane Katrina: Authority to Limit Price Gouging

Angie A. Welborn and Aaron M. Flynn
Legislative Attorneys
American Law Division

Summary

This report addresses the authority of state and federal governments to control price gouging in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina. Specifically, questions have arisen regarding increased prices in the areas affected by Hurricane Katrina and the effect that the damage caused by the hurricane will have on prices, specifically gasoline prices, in other parts of the country. State laws regarding price gouging in the event of an emergency are discussed, as well as the role the federal government could play in addressing rising gas prices in other parts of the country. This report will be updated as events warrant.

Introduction

There are no federal laws that specifically address price gouging. Price gouging laws exist at the state level and are generally applicable in situations arising from a declared emergency.¹ An increase in prices alone does not necessarily constitute price gouging, and technically, price gouging only occurs when the trigger event has been met in a particular state. If there exists evidence of collusive activity among retailers, suppliers, or manufacturers, federal antitrust laws could be applicable. The Federal Trade Commission monitors gas prices and investigates possible antitrust violations in the petroleum industry.² Currently, there is no reported evidence of collusive activity leading to an increase in retail gas prices.

¹ At least 13 states — Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia — have laws that specifically address price gouging in the event of a declared emergency. Other states may exercise authority under general deceptive trade practice laws depending on the nature of the state law and the specific circumstances under which price increases occur.

² For more information on the Federal Trade Commission's activities with respect to gas pricing, see [<http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/oilgas/index.html>].

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 02 SEP 2005		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Price Increases in the Aftermath of Hurricane Katrina: Authority to Limit Price Gouging				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Congressional Research Service The Library of Congress 101 Independence Ave SE Washington, DC 20540-7500				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Price Gouging in Affected Areas

While there is no federal price gouging law, many states have enacted some type of prohibition or limitation on price increases during declared emergencies.³ All of the affected states — Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida — have price gouging laws that are triggered by the declaration of an emergency in the state.⁴ Generally, the laws prohibit the sale of goods and services in the designated emergency area at prices that exceed the prices ordinarily charged for comparable goods or services in the same market area at or immediately before the declaration of an emergency.⁵ However, there exists a general exemption for increased prices that are the result of additional costs incurred for procuring the goods or services in question, or “national or international market trends.”⁶

The Florida statute is the most detailed of the four. It establishes a *prima facie* case of unconscionable pricing, if:

- 1) The amount charged represents a gross disparity between the price of the commodity or rental or lease of any dwelling unit or self-storage facility that is the subject of the offer or transaction and the average price at which that commodity or dwelling unit or self-storage facility was rented, leased, sold, or offered for rent or sale in the usual course of business during the 30 days immediately prior to a declaration of a state of emergency, and the increase in the amount charged is not attributable to additional costs incurred in connection with the rental or sale of the commodity or rental or lease of any dwelling unit or self-storage facility, or national or international market trends; or
- 2) The amount charged grossly exceeds the average price at which the same or similar commodity was readily obtainable in the trade area during the 30 days immediately prior to a declaration of a state of emergency, and the increase in the amount charged is not attributable to additional costs incurred in connection with the rental or sale of the commodity or rental or lease of any dwelling unit or self-storage facility, or national or international market trends.⁷

Commodity is broadly defined to include “any goods, services, materials, merchandise, supplies, equipment, resources, or other article of commerce,” and specifically includes,

³ The Federal Emergency Management Administration has declared emergencies in all four states affected by Hurricane Katrina. *See* [<http://www.fema.gov/news/disasters.fema>]. States of emergency have also been declared by the Governors of Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, and Mississippi.

⁴ Code of Ala. § 8-31-3; Fla. Stat. § 501.160; La. R.S. 29:732; Miss. Code Ann. § 75-24-25.

⁵ *See* Miss. Code Ann. § 75-24-25(2). The Alabama statute prohibits “unconscionable prices” during the period of a declared state of emergency, but does not define “unconscionable.” Code of Ala. § 8-31-3.

⁶ *See* Fla. Stat. § 501.160.

⁷ Fla. Stat. § 501.160(1)(b).

“without limitation, food, water, ice, chemicals, petroleum products, and lumber necessary for consumption or use as a direct result of the emergency.”⁸

In Alabama, *prima facie* evidence of unconscionable pricing exists “if any person, during a state of emergency declared pursuant to the powers granted to the Governor, charges a price that exceeds, by an amount equal to or in excess of 25% the average price at which the same or similar commodity or rental facility was obtainable in the affected area during the last 30 days immediately prior to the declared state of emergency,” but, like Florida, price gouging does not exist where the price increase is attributable to reasonable costs incurred by the seller in connection with the rental or sale of the commodity.⁹

The Mississippi and Louisiana statutes define price gouging more generally and do not provide any specific activities which could give rise to *prima facie* evidence of price gouging. Each of these statutes prohibits the selling of goods and services at prices which exceed “the prices ordinarily charged for comparable goods and services in the same market area at, or immediately before, the time of the [declaration of the] state of emergency.”¹⁰ Under each statute, price gouging does not include price increases due to additional costs or expenses incurred as a result of the emergency.¹¹

General Price Increases in Other Areas

Typically, state price gouging laws are triggered only when there has been a declaration of emergency in the state. The laws, therefore, are only applicable in areas affected by the declared emergency. Thus, in other parts of the country, not directly affected by Hurricane Katrina, state price gouging laws, where they exist, are not likely to be generally applicable to any price increases occurring subsequent to the hurricane. While price increases may not fall within the definition of price gouging, if the raising of prices by retailers, suppliers, or manufacturers is the result of collusive activity, the federal antitrust laws could be applicable.¹²

Under special circumstances and depending on the scope of the statute in question, state price gouging laws could be triggered by the declaration of an emergency not specifically related to a natural disaster occurring in the state. For example, Georgia’s price gouging statute can be triggered by the declaration of an “energy emergency,” which is defined as “a condition of danger to the health, safety, welfare, or economic well-being of the citizens of this state arising out of a present or threatened shortage of usable energy

⁸ Id. at § 501.160(1)(a).

⁹ Code of Ala. § 8-31-4.

¹⁰ La. R.S. 29:732; Miss. Code Ann. § 75-24-25(2).

¹¹ Id.

¹² For an overview of federal antitrust law, see CRS Report RL31026, *General Overview of United States Antitrust Law*.

resources.”¹³ The Governor of Georgia declared such an emergency on August 31, 2005, triggering the state’s price gouging statute.¹⁴

Increases in Gas Prices

There are no federal price controls for petroleum products that would be applicable nationwide. However, such controls are not unprecedented. A variety of authorities have, from time to time, authorized executive branch implementation of price controls for crude oil and refined petroleum. Under the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970,¹⁵ for instance, the President was authorized to control the price of commodities, including crude oil and refined petroleum.¹⁶ President Nixon exercised this authority in 1971; it expired in 1974.¹⁷

Similar authority was contained in the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (EPAA),¹⁸ which directed the President to establish temporary measures “to deal with shortages of crude oil, residual fuel oil, and refined petroleum products or dislocations in their national distribution system” and to “minimiz[e] the adverse impacts of such shortages or dislocations on the American people and the domestic economy.”¹⁹ To meet these objectives, the Act granted the President broad authority to allocate oil and to specify its price.²⁰ A comprehensive program was established in 1974, including standby regulations for use during emergencies, which included price controls and allocation measures.²¹ The President was also empowered to take a variety of other actions to affect petroleum product prices, such as ordering refineries to modify their output and to control the accumulation of petroleum by importers, producers, refiners, marketers or distributors.²² These regulations were slowly phased out over successive Administrations and eventually terminated by President Reagan in 1981;²³ the law itself expired on September 30, 1981.²⁴

¹³ O.C.G.A. § 10-1-393.4; O.C.G.A. § 38-3-3.

¹⁴ See [http://www.gov.state.ga.us/ExOrders/08_31_05_01.pdf].

¹⁵ P.L. 91-379, §§201-06, 84 Stat. 796, 799-800 (1970).

¹⁶ 12 U.S.C. § 1904, note (1976).

¹⁷ Exec. Order No. 11615, 36 Fed. Reg. 15727 (Aug. 17, 1971).

¹⁸ P.L. 93-159, 87 Stat. 627, 693-702 (codified at 15 U.S.C. §§ 751-760).

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. § 751(b).

²⁰ *Id.* § 753(a).

²¹ 39 Fed. Reg. 1924 (Jan. 15, 1974); 44 Fed. Reg. 3928 (Jan. 18, 1979); 45 Fed. Reg. 55374 (Aug. 19, 1980).

²² See 15 U.S.C. §§ 760b-760e.

²³ See, e.g., 15 Weekly Comp. of Pres. Doc. 609 (Apr. 1979); Exec. Ord. No. 12287, “Decontrol of Crude Oil and Refined Petroleum Products,” 46 Fed. Reg. 9909 (Jan. 30, 1981). The price controls in the petroleum markets were generally considered by economists to be inefficient and the cause of additional problems.

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. § 760g.

The federal government may be able to affect oil supplies and prices through use of the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR). The SPR was created by the Energy Policy and Conservation Act,²⁵ enacted in 1975, which authorizes the stockpiling of petroleum products and the drawdown and distribution of SPR oil by the Secretary of Energy pursuant to Department of Energy (DOE) regulations.²⁶ Specifically, the law authorizes the Secretary to suspend acquisition of petroleum products for the SPR if the Secretary determines that “a severe energy supply interruption may be imminent” and to sell any petroleum product “acquired for and in transit to, but not injected into, the Reserve.”²⁷

The law also authorizes drawdown and sale of petroleum products contained within the SPR; however, before that can occur, the President must find that such actions are “required by a severe energy supply interruption” or by international obligations.²⁸ The law requires the Secretary to sell SPR products at “public sale to the highest qualified bidder ... without regard to Federal, State, or local regulations controlling sales of petroleum products.”²⁹ DOE regulations indicate that contracts for sale of SPR petroleum are to be awarded to “responsive, responsible persons offering the highest prices ...[through] sales conducted pursuant to [DOE] rules”³⁰ Sale prices are to be certified by the offeror that they

have been arrived at independently, without, for the purposes of restricting competition, any consultation, communication, or agreement with any other offeror or competitor to: (i) those prices; (ii) the intention to submit an offer; or (iii) the methods or factors used to calculate the prices offered.³¹

This and other provisions indicate that sales of SPR petroleum should generally result in a return to the government of market-priced petroleum. Thus, any effect on gasoline prices resulting from SPR petroleum sales would seem more likely to be caused by increased supply or the perception of increased supply.

The current plan to release SPR petroleum would not result in the exercise of the Secretary’s authority to sell SPR petroleum. It would instead adopt a system of “swaps,” avoiding the SPR sales requirements. Through “swapping,” a company takes SPR oil and sells it at current market prices. Theoretically, the additional supply will help reduce prices. As payment for the SPR petroleum, the recipient is required to provide a somewhat larger amount of oil to the SPR when oil prices have fallen.³² This process does not appear to be expressly provided for in the applicable statutes and regulations; however, authority may be inferred from the Secretary’s SPR development and operations

²⁵ P.L. 94-163, 89 Stat. 871 (1975) (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. §§ 6231-6247).

²⁶ 42 U.S.C. §§ 6234, 6241.

²⁷ Id. § 6240(f).

²⁸ Id. § 6241(d)(1), (h).

²⁹ Id. § 6241(e).

³⁰ 10 C.F.R. § 625.2.

³¹ 10 C.F.R. Pt. 625, App. A, § B.3.

³² For a description of this process, see CRS Issue Brief IB87050, *Strategic Petroleum Reserve*, by Robert Bamberger.

powers, which include authority to “acquire ... by purchase, exchange, or otherwise, petroleum products for storage in the Strategic Petroleum Reserve ... [and to] execute any contract necessary to develop, operate, or maintain ... [the SPR].”³³

³³ 42 U.S.C. §§ 6239; 6240.